

Freky A. Comstock, 1 mg. Portsey & Whitney 2200 First Pank Place Fast Pinneyshite, Sinnesote

TEL Boilty for a Chamical Checoration

Dear Ne. Comptonet

Professor harowith is a copy or the delog Granting extension of Time to be built Decice which was industring to indian v. Admir Decice, Professor, Administrator, United States (Stite Commental Professor, Agency, Anglon i on necessor in, 1944.

Your inter of Romanner a mented that amiliate continuous serve to rull and operate a CAC treatment plant is applied to the four monitions set conti in ar. Schwartzbaustin tales of martenaut la picase he angined that the militud brates accurred to the position relation to again conditions union was not there are the my lister of Softmaner 31, that to be, Schwartzbauer.

Sincarely,

Forest S. Deininger Assistant Regional Coursel

EDO LOGICE

or: Fourt Relant Mayne G. Pophan Stophen Shakman David Hiro

bcc: Robert Schaefer
David Ullrich
Mary Gade
Barbara Magel
Paul Bitter
Michael Elam
Grundler, OSWFR
Woitte, OECM

Pa 12/14/84

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION V

IN THE MATTER OF:

Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation

Proceeding Under Section 106(a)

of the Comprehensive Environmental

Response, Compensation, and
Liability Act of 1980 [42 U.S.C.)

9606(a)]

Docket No. V-W-84-011

Order Granting Extension of Time to Submit Design

- On August 1, 1984, the Administrative Order in this case was issued to Respondent.
- 2. Paragraph one (1) of the Order required Respondent to develop and submit a complete design for a granular activated carbon water treatment system to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) within sixty (60) days of the effective date of the Administrative Order.
- 3. On September 11, 1984, Respondent met with U.S. EPA. During the course of such meeting Respondent offered to build and operate a granular activated carbon treatment plant which would not be identical to the specifications set forth in the Administrative Order but which could be equivalent in performance. This offer was confirmed in writing by Respondent by a letter dated September 14, 1984.
- 4. On September 21, 1984, Respondent was advised by U.S. EPA that, following review of the specific details of

Respondent's design, Respondent's offer could be acceptable to the United States, provided that the treatment plant would achieve the performance criteria set forth in the Record of Decision (Attachment A of the Administrative Order).

- 5. On November 9, 1984, Respondent requested an extension of sixty (60) days for submitting the design for the treatment system required by the Administrative Order. In support of such request Respondent stated that:
 - a. Respondent's contractor will be conducting accelerated carbon treatment tests which will take approximately six (6) weeks and
 - b. Respondent did not receive the technical response from U.S. EPA needed to begin the design until October 31, 1984.
- 6. Based upon representations made by Respondent, Reilly Tar & Chemical Corporation, an extension of sixty (60) days for submittal of a design pursuant to the Administrative Order is appropriate.

ORDER

1. Respondent is hereby granted an additional sixty (60) days from the date set forth in paragraph one (1) of the Administrative Order, within which to submit a design for the granular activated carbon water treatment system to U.S. EPA. Respondent is, therefore, required to submit such design no later than January 12, 1985.

It Is So Ordered

Valdas V. Adamkus Regional Administrator